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ABSTRACT

Background: Chest physiotherapy (CPT) and positioning of neonates has been used in many developed countries around the world to improve airway clearance and avoid lung complications, but the combined effect of both techniques is less documented. The objective of the study was to compare the effectiveness of chest physiotherapy in prone position versus conventional chest physiotherapy in ventilated neonates on respiratory outcomes.

Methods: The study design was randomized controlled pilot study. Participants: 12 ventilated neonates fitting in Inclusion Criteria. They were randomly divided into two groups (n=6 in each group) using simple random sampling i.e. Experimental group (4female and 2male) (Chest Physiotherapy and Prone Positioning) and the Control group (3 females and 3 males) (Conventional Chest Physiotherapy). Primary outcome measures were SpO2 saturation, Partial Pressure of Arterial Oxygen (PaO2) & Peak Inspiratory Pressure (P.I.P.). Outcomes were recorded Pre & Post of every 120 minutes session of Intervention twice daily with a gap of 6 hours for consecutive three days for the experimental group while for the control group, parameters were measured at the same time of the day.

Result: Total 10 participants completed the study protocol. On comparison of three parameters in two groups using the unpaired t-test we found that there was a significant difference (p< 0.05) in SpO2 and PaO2 in both groups but no difference (p >0.05) in P.I.P. levels.

Conclusion: Chest physiotherapy in the prone position for ventilated neonate concluded with a higher oxygen saturation (SpO2) and partial pressure of oxygen in the arterial blood (PaO2) when compared to conventional chest physiotherapy.
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INTRODUCTION

A child's risk of dying is highest in the first 28 days of the neonatal period. Improving the quality of antenatal care, care at the time of delivery, and postnatal care for mothers and their newborns are important to prevent these deaths. In 2016, globally 2.6 million neonates died in the first month of life. There are approximately 7000 newborn deaths every day, which account for 46% of all child deaths under the age of 5-years. Preterm birth, intrapartum-related complications (birth asphyxia or lack of breathing at birth), and infections cause most neonatal deaths. (WHO Factsheet: October 2017)

Today, in addition to conventional and newly developing trends of Neonatal Intensive Care Management by Neonatologists, Chest Physiotherapy (CPT) techniques are used in many NICUs all over the globe to improve airway clearance and treat lung collapse in ventilated infants [1].

The use of CPT in airway clearance of mechanically ventilated adults has been shown to improve total lung/thoracic compliance and cardiorespiratory function; however, very little is known about its effect on ventilated neonates [2].

Chest physiotherapy (CPT) is frequently prescribed for infants and children with the respiratory disease with the primary aims of facilitating airway clearance, improving lung volumes and optimizing ventilation-perfusion matching [3].

Neonatal chest physiotherapy in ventilated neonates has become the commonest method of care in neonatal intensive care units in the Western countries and Metro cities while the same is less common in the rural part of India, probably due to lack of awareness and training [4]. In ill and critically ill individuals, positioning is a non-invasive, inexpensive modality often used to improve oxygenation [5]. One of the key interventions used in CPT is body positioning [6]. This may be used to improve ventilation-perfusion matching by positioning a child in such a way as to maximize ventilation to the “healthier” lung or to improve ventilation to affected lung regions to facilitate re-expansion [7]. Body positioning has also been of importance in minimizing the occurrence of pressure sores, prevention of postural deformities, improving cardiovascular function, reducing gastroesophageal reflux [8]. Whilst many studies describe the effects of prone or supine positioning on factors such as mortality, arterial oxygenation, functional residual capacity, work of breathing and ventilation-perfusion matching [9]. Positioning is also aimed to improve various respiratory outcomes which may ultimately help in early weaning thereby reducing the overall period of mechanical ventilation. There are a limited number of studies that describe combine effect of chest physiotherapy and positioning in the neonates.

Hence the purpose of this pilot study was to find out the effectiveness of chest physiotherapy along with positioning and conventional chest physiotherapy in ventilated neonates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This pilot Randomized Control Trial (RCT) study tests the effectiveness of chest physiotherapy with prone positioning versus conventional chest physiotherapy in ventilated neonates. This study was conducted in Neonatal Intensive care unit of VPHM, Ahmednagar. Ethical approval for the present study was obtained from PIMS (DU), IEC, Loni. (Letter no. PMT/PIMS/IEC/2014/83 Dt.20th Aug,2014)

Ventilated Neonates between day 1 – day 28 and with a history of any recent respiratory tract infection, cardiorespiratory or disabling musculoskeletal or neurological condition affecting Ventilation & Perfusion were included in the study. Subjects with no history of recent respiratory tract infection affecting ventilation and perfusion and subjects who have undergone any cardiorespiratory or abdominal surgery were excluded. Written & recorded informed consent was obtained from the parent or legal guardian of each participant.

PROCEDURE

At the beginning of study 14 ventilated neonates were assessed for eligibility, out of which only 12 Participants fitted in Inclusion Criteria. They were randomly divided into two groups (n=6 in each group) using simple random sampling. Experimental Group (4female and 2male) received chest physiotherapy in a prone position whereas the Control Group (3 females and 3males) received conventional chest physiotherapy. Prone positioning was given to each participant in the experimental group for 240 minutes/day in two divided sessions of 120 minutes each with a gap of 6 hours (10 am -12 pm & 6 pm – 8 pm) for consecutive three days. (i.e. six intervention sessions). For prone positioning, two small towels were rolled and placed under infants’ knees and abdomen to avoid pressure on knees and chest. In this position, elbows were kept in flexed position and arms were kept along sides of the body, while hands were placed sides of the head which was rotated towards ventilator tubes. A conventional chest physiotherapy session included percussion (including cupping with face mask, contact heel percussion, and finger percussion) vibration (with fingers).

Outcome measurements: Primary outcomes were Oxygen saturation (SpO₂), the Partial pressure of arterial oxygen (PaO₂) & Peak Inspiratory Pressure (P.I.P.). Outcomes were recorded Pre and Post of every 120 minutes session (0 Min & 120 Mins) for the experimental group while for the Control Group; parameters were measured at the same time of the day (i.e. 10 am -12 pm & 6 pm – 8 pm). Out of 12, only 10 subjects completed the study protocol. (Figure 1)

RESULTS

Statistical analysis of the current pilot study was done by using SPSS version 23 (IBM). The SpO₂, PaO₂ and P.I.P. values measured on the 3rd day (at the end of 6th Session) were shown as Mean ± SD and the changes in Experimental Group were compared with Control Group by unpaired t-test. ‘p’ value less than 0.05 was considered as significant.
Table 1: Demographic characteristics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Experimental Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>4 (F) and 2 (M)</td>
<td>3 (F) and 3 (M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (days)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (gms)</td>
<td>1333.3 ± 152.8</td>
<td>1316.6 ± 111.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of SpO₂, PaO₂ and P.I.P. levels in two groups at the end of 3rd day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Mean ± S.D.</th>
<th>‘t’ Value</th>
<th>‘p’ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental Group</td>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO₂</td>
<td>96.20 ± 0.84</td>
<td>93.20 ± 2.28</td>
<td>2.7617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂</td>
<td>59 ± 4.69</td>
<td>52 ± 2.39</td>
<td>2.6314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.I.P.</td>
<td>14.60 ± 1.14</td>
<td>15.60 ± 1.67</td>
<td>1.1043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Experimental Group, the mean of SpO₂ was 96.2 ± 0.84 percent, PaO₂ was 59 ± 4.69 mmHg and P.I.P. was 14.60 ± 1.14 cmH₂O at the end of 3rd day. In Control Group, the mean of SpO₂ was 93.2 ± 2.28 percent, PaO₂ was 52 ± 2.39 mmHg and P.I.P. was 15.60 ± 1.67 cmH₂O. On comparison of three parameters using unpaired ‘t’ test, we found that there was a significant difference (p < 0.05) in SpO₂ and PaO₂ in both groups but no difference (p >0.05) in P.I.P. level.

DISCUSSION

This study evaluated the effects of chest physiotherapy in a prone position in ventilated neonates. The measuring variables for oxygenation were analyzed by a standard Paediatric Pulse oximeter/ Cardiac Monitor reflecting SpO₂, Arterial Blood Gas measurements for PaO₂ and a Paediatric Ventilator for Peak Inspiratory Pressure (P.I.P.)

The results showed that placing neonates in the prone position for the duration of 120 minutes along with chest physiotherapy, increased the mean of SpO₂ and PaO₂ (Table 2, Fig. 2). Balaguer et al in a systematic review study regarding the effect of positioning on the amount of SpO₂ showed that prone position increased SpO₂ level in the range between 1.18 to 4.36% during the intervention (prone position) [10].

The reason of increasing SpO₂ in the prone position can be due to, the connection of hand-mouth and semi-embryonic flexion occur better than any other position and this can lead to good sleep as well as less consumption of oxygen compared to any other position. The study by Chang showed that positioning infants in prone position reduces their activities and led to better oxygenation and decreased the number of SpO₂ attacks reduced as compared to supine position [11,12].

There was a statistically significant improvement in PaO₂ levels (Figure 3). This may be contributed to increased median oxygen saturation and higher lung volumes (Increased FRC) in the prone position as reported by Bhat R Y et al (2003) [13].

Chest physiotherapy which included techniques like percussion and vibration were used in the prone position for the Experimental Group. As per the survey article by Tejas Chokshi et al, percussion is used to augment mobilization of secretions by mechanically dislodging viscous or adherent mucus from the airway [14,15]. This survey showed that 74% of respondents used percussion as a chest physiotherapy treatment of choice in neonates. A similar study on adult ICUs in India showed that the response rate for percussion was 93.6% whereas it was 98% in Europe and 79% in Australia [16]. Vibration is used in conjunction with percussion to help move secretions to the larger airway [15]. According to this survey, 75% of respondents used vibration for neonates.
Postural drainage is an intervention performed by placing the patient in various positions for airway clearance for mobilizing secretions in one or more lung segments of the central airways, where the gravity assists in the drainage process [15]. These findings could be explained by Hough et al (2008) [17], who stated that Chest Physiotherapy results in lung mechanical effects, further optimizing the respiratory function in order to facilitate effective gas exchange and adjust ventilation-perfusion adequacy of respiratory support, to prevent and treat pulmonary complications, to provide good maintenance of airways and to facilitate weaning from mechanical ventilation and oxygen therapy. Physiotherapy procedures provide stability of hemodynamic variables, such as HR [18], the functional maintenance of newborn cerebral circulation and maintenance of airways with turbulent flow and minimal secretion, which allow an increased permeability and reduced number of the intrinsic airway that contribute to increased airway resistance and a decrease in gas changes physiological events [19].

As noted by Douglas W W et al (1977) [20], dorsal to the ventral orientation of major airways facilitate efficient drainage of secretions from peripheral airways or diseased dorsal lung segments. In addition to above factors, pronation of a ventilated subject has shown to reduce airway resistance which may attribute to improved respiratory mechanics as reported by Mentzelopoulos SD et al (2005) [21]. Any reduction of airway resistance shall help to the limit the use of high pressures, in turn, pressure related complications such as barotrauma and hemodynamic mismatch.

Though we found significant improvement in parameters, the results can't be generalized as it is a pilot study with small sample size. Hence, further studies with larger sample size are needed for this position to be incorporated into the routine pediatric care of ventilated neonates.

CONCLUSION

Chest physiotherapy in the prone position for ventilated neonate concluded with a higher oxygen saturation (SpO₂) and partial pressure of oxygen in the arterial blood (PaO₂) when compared to conventional chest physiotherapy.
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